

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,437	09/27/2001	Johnny M. Matta	10745/022	3877	
8791	7590 08/23/2006		EXAMINER		
	SOKOLOFF TAYLOI HIRE BOULEVARD	RAMAKRISHN	RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR		
SEVENTH F		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGEI	LES, CA 90025-1030	2614			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/965,4	37	MATTA ET AL.				
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
			makrishnaiah	2614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Pr Reply	tion appears on the	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3's SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THE TOTAL T	HIS COMMUNICATI ent, however, may a reply be fill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr blication to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this one ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on						
2a)□	•	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.		•			
Applicati	on Papers			·	•			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) l	oe held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[cuments have hee	an received					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t		• •		l Stage			
	application from the International	•			Jugo			
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for	•	• • • •	ived.				
2 2 2 1112 2112 2112 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2								
		·						
Attoches	We)							
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
	e of Neterances Offed (* 10-032) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	D/SB/08)	5) Notice of Information Other:	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/965,437

Art Unit: 2614

Response to Arguments with respect to Final Rejection

In view of Applicant's arguments dated 7-28-2006, Final rejection of claims 1-26 has been withdrawn. But a new rejection of claims 1-26 based on double patenting with respect to claims 1-54 of copending application 10/056,576 follows.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-26 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-54 of copending Application No.10/056,576. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because, for instance, claim 1 of the current application is an obvious variation of claim 1 of the copending Application No.10/056,576..

Application/Control Number: 09/965,437

Art Unit: 2614

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Terminal disclaimer to overcome double patenting rejection as set forth above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,437 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614